© Volume 2, Issue 10, October 2026 | JATIR
e-ISSN: 2839-5786, p-ISSN: 3139-8464

Indian knowledge system and role of Gurukuls in advancing the Indian knowledge and protecting environment

Mr. Ayan Banerjee
Faculty, Department of Geography Polba Mahavidyalaya Polba, Hooghly, West Bengal

***Abstract*—The relationship between education, local environment and traditional knowledge has considerable significance in understanding sustainable human-environment interactions. The Gurukul tradition of India represents an important historical model in which learning was closely connected with everyday life, community practices, ethical values and the surrounding environment. Rather than treating education as an activity restricted to formal classrooms, the Gurukul approach emphasized learning through observation, participation, discipline, service and interaction with the social and natural surroundings. This paper examines the relationship between Gurukul education, local environmental settings and traditional knowledge from a geographical perspective. It explores how nature, local resources, community life and culturally transmitted knowledge could function as components of an integrated learning environment. The paper also discusses the contemporary relevance of such principles for environmental education, sustainability and place-based learning. A conceptual and literature-based methodology is adopted, drawing on scholarship concerning Gurukula education, traditional ecological knowledge, environmental education and sustainable development. The study argues that selected principles of the Gurukul tradition can inform contemporary place-based and experiential environmental education, provided they are critically adapted rather than romantically reproduced.**

***Index Terms*—Gurukul Education, Traditional Knowledge, Human-Environment Interaction, Environmental Education, Sustainable Development.**

I. INTRODUCTION

Education is not independent of the geographical environment in which people live. The physical setting, availability of natural resources, settlement pattern, cultural practices and livelihood systems influence how communities acquire, preserve and transmit knowledge. From a geographical perspective, education may therefore be understood not merely as an institutional

process but also as a spatial and cultural process through which people develop relationships with their surroundings. The traditional Indian Gurukul system provides an interesting historical context for examining this relationship. Gurukula, derived from guru and kula, broadly refers to a system in which learners lived and studied in close association with a teacher and the teacher's household or residential learning community. Historical descriptions of ancient Indian education identify gurukuls or ashrams as important residential spaces of learning, often associated with relatively quiet natural surroundings (Altekar, 1944, Basham, 1954). Contemporary scholarship also emphasizes the personal, residential and holistic dimensions of Gurukula education (Jayalakshmi & Rekha, 2022).

The significance of Gurukula education for geography does not lie simply in the physical location of traditional learning centres. More important is the relationship between learning and place. When students live within a particular landscape, everyday activities inevitably bring them into contact with water, vegetation, soil, weather, animals, food systems and local social institutions. Such interaction can generate forms of situated knowledge. Traditional ecological knowledge similarly develops through long-term relationships between communities and particular environments (Berkes, 2012).

The Gurukula tradition should not, however, be treated as a uniform educational institution existing unchanged throughout ancient and medieval India. Indian educational traditions varied considerably across historical periods, regions, social groups and institutions. Therefore, the present study does not attempt to idealize the Gurukula system. Instead, it examines selected principles associated with residential, experiential and value-oriented learning and considers their relevance to contemporary human-environment relationships. The current environmental crisis has renewed interest in place-based education, ecological literacy, indigenous knowledge and sustainability-oriented learning. Environmental education increasingly emphasizes the development of values, attitudes and practices that encourage responsible relationships with nature (UNESCO, 1978, Tilbury, 1995). In India, the National Education Policy 2020 has also encouraged greater engagement with Indian Knowledge Systems, local contexts and experiential forms of learning (Ministry of Education, 2020). Within this changing educational context, the Gurukul tradition can be examined as one historical source for understanding the connections among education, place, culture and environment.

II. OBJECTIVES

- To examine the relationship between Gurukul education, local environmental settings and traditional knowledge from a geographical perspective.
- To assess the contemporary relevance of Gurukul principles for environmental education, place-based learning and sustainable human-environment relationships.

III. METHODOLOGY

The study follows a qualitative, conceptual and literature-based methodology. It does not claim to reconstruct every aspect of historical Gurukul education. Instead, it critically examines published literature concerning ancient Indian education, Gurukula philosophy, traditional ecological knowledge, environmental education and sustainability.

Secondary sources include scholarly books, journal articles, educational documents and policy literature. The literature is organized around four analytical themes- (i) education and geographical space, (ii) Gurukul education and residential learning, (iii) traditional knowledge and human-environment interaction, and (iv) contemporary environmental education and sustainability.

IV. GURUKUL EDUCATION AS A SPATIAL AND SOCIAL LEARNING SYSTEM

One of the distinctive characteristics associated with Gurukul education was the close relationship between teacher and learner. Students did not necessarily experience education as a clearly separated activity conducted within a modern classroom. Residential learning created an environment in which study, discipline, social interaction and everyday responsibilities were interconnected. Historical accounts of Indian education describe gurukuls as residential centres where students lived with or near their teachers and received instruction in different fields (Altekar, 1944). The spatial organization of learning is significant from a geographical perspective. A school building in a modern urban environment often separates educational activity from domestic and ecological processes. By contrast, residential education can make the surrounding landscape part of the learning environment. Students may observe seasonal changes, vegetation, water availability, local food practices and settlement patterns through everyday experience. Jayalakshmi and Rekha (2022) interpret Gurukula education as a personal educational process in which learning was closely connected with relationships, individual development and the learner's environment. This perspective is important for educational geography because it shifts attention from educational institutions alone towards the interaction among learner, teacher, community and place. The geographical significance of Gurukul education can therefore be understood through the concept of learning landscape. A learning landscape includes not only classrooms but also gardens, water bodies, agricultural spaces, forests, settlements, paths and community institutions. Such spaces can function as informal educational resources.

V. LOCAL ENVIRONMENT AS A LEARNING RESOURCE

The local environment provides a readily available source of experiential knowledge. Students living within a particular ecological setting can learn through direct observation of environmental

processes. For example, seasonal variation can provide opportunities to understand temperature, rainfall and vegetation. Local water sources can introduce questions concerning water availability, conservation and human use. Agricultural practices can illustrate relationships among soil, climate, crops and livelihoods. Such learning corresponds closely with the geographical principle that environmental processes are experienced through particular places. A textbook can describe monsoon rainfall, soil erosion or river systems, but direct engagement with a local landscape may provide a different form of understanding. Environmental education research emphasizes that meaningful learning can develop when learners connect abstract concepts with lived experiences. Sterling (2001) argues for educational approaches that move beyond fragmented knowledge towards more holistic relationships between learning and sustainability. Similarly, Orr (2004) emphasizes ecological literacy and the importance of understanding the relationships between human societies and the ecological systems that support them. The Gurukul setting can therefore be interpreted as a historical example of an educational environment where learning potentially occurred through repeated interaction with place. This does not mean that all Gurukul institutions followed identical ecological practices. Rather, the residential character of the system created possibilities for integrating everyday environmental experience into education.

VI. TRADITIONAL KNOWLEDGE AND HUMAN-ENVIRONMENT INTERACTION

Traditional knowledge develops through sustained interaction between communities and their environments. Berkes (2012) defines traditional ecological knowledge as a cumulative body of knowledge, practice and belief concerning relationships between living beings and their environment. Such knowledge may concern agriculture, forests, water, weather, medicinal plants, biodiversity and resource use. From a geographical perspective, traditional knowledge is strongly place-dependent. Knowledge of a particular crop, soil, plant or water source develops through repeated experience within a particular environmental and cultural setting. Therefore, traditional knowledge cannot always be separated from the landscape in which it developed. The relationship between traditional knowledge and education is especially important because knowledge must be transmitted if it is to survive across generations. Informal learning within households and communities has historically contributed to this process. Shukla and Gardner (2004) argue that traditional ecological knowledge can complement formal education in the context of community-based resource management. The Gurukul model provides a conceptual framework for considering this relationship because learning was embedded within a residential community. Education could involve not only formal instruction but also observation, imitation, participation and daily responsibilities. These processes resemble some characteristics of contemporary experiential learning. Nevertheless, traditional knowledge should not be regarded as automatically scientific or universally applicable. Some traditional practices may have developed under environmental conditions that no longer exist. Others may require scientific validation before contemporary application. Therefore, a productive approach is to establish

dialogue between traditional knowledge and modern scientific knowledge rather than replacing one with the other.

VII. NATURE, ETHICS AND ENVIRONMENTAL VALUES

The relationship between environmental knowledge and environmental ethics is another important dimension. Knowledge about natural resources does not necessarily guarantee responsible resource use. Sustainable behaviour also depends on values, social norms and perceptions of responsibility. Indian cultural traditions contain numerous examples of ethical relationships with elements of nature. Ghildyal and Dey (2020) argue that cultural heritage and value-based environmental education can contribute to sustainability by influencing attitudes and lifestyles. In this context, education may be viewed as a process of developing not only knowledge but also responsibility towards the environment. The Gurukul tradition is frequently described as emphasizing discipline, simplicity, self-control and service. Sharma and Joshi (2021), for example, identify principles such as minimalism, self-reliance, responsibility and consciousness within their interpretation of Indian Gurukul philosophy and sustainable management education. From an environmental perspective, these principles may be interpreted as encouraging reduced consumption, responsible use of resources and awareness of consequences. However, such interpretations should remain analytical rather than romantic. Ancient educational systems were embedded within their own social and economic contexts and cannot simply be transferred to the twenty-first century.

VIII. COMMUNITY, COOPERATION AND RESOURCE USE

Human-environment relationships are rarely individual relationships. Communities collectively determine how resources such as water, forests, grazing land and agricultural areas are used. Education can influence these relationships by developing cooperative attitudes and a sense of responsibility. Residential learning potentially creates opportunities for students to participate in shared activities. Cleaning, maintaining common spaces, preparing food, collecting resources and helping other members of the learning community can develop practical understanding of interdependence. Such activities can be interpreted as a form of informal environmental education. Traditional ecological knowledge research similarly highlights the importance of community institutions in resource management (Berkes, 2012). The connection between knowledge and community is particularly relevant in rural and indigenous contexts where ecological information may be embedded in livelihood practices. The educational value of community participation is also recognized by contemporary sustainability education. Environmental problems such as waste management, water scarcity and biodiversity loss cannot be addressed solely through classroom instruction. Learners need opportunities to understand environmental issues within their social and spatial contexts.

IX. GURUKUL EDUCATION AND PLACE BASED ENVIRONMENTAL EDUCATION

Place-based education emphasizes the use of local environments, communities and cultural landscapes as resources for learning. Its basic principle is that students can develop deeper understanding when educational activities are connected to the places where they live. This principle has considerable relevance to geography education. A local pond can become a site for studying water quality, hydrology and human use. A village agricultural field can support investigation of soil, crops and land-use change. A nearby forest or wetland can provide opportunities to study biodiversity and ecosystem services. A local settlement can become a laboratory for understanding population, livelihood and environmental change. The Gurukul tradition can therefore be interpreted as providing historical inspiration for place-based learning. The important lesson is not to reproduce an ancient educational structure but to recover the principle that learning can emerge from direct engagement with place.

Modern environmental education can combine this principle with scientific methods. Students can undertake field observation, mapping, interviews, water testing, biodiversity inventories and GIS-based land-use analysis. Traditional community knowledge can be documented through ethical interviews and then compared with scientific observations.

X. RELEVANCE TO CONTEMPORARY SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT

The contemporary sustainability agenda requires educational systems to develop ecological awareness alongside social responsibility. UNESCO's environmental education framework emphasized the need for education to develop knowledge, attitudes and skills for understanding and addressing environmental problems (UNESCO, 1978). The Sustainable Development Goals similarly recognize education as an important mechanism for sustainable development. SDG 4 emphasizes inclusive and quality education, while environmental sustainability is reflected across several other goals (United Nations, 2015). In India, the National Education Policy 2020 provides an important contemporary context for discussing Indian Knowledge Systems and experiential learning. The policy encourages education that is rooted in Indian traditions while remaining connected with contemporary knowledge and global challenges (Ministry of Education, 2020). Recent scholarship on traditional ecological knowledge and environmental education argues that local knowledge can strengthen ecological awareness when it is carefully integrated into formal education (Sandha et al., 2024). The potential contribution of Gurukul-inspired principles therefore lies in combining local experience, ethical responsibility, scientific inquiry and sustainability education.

XI. A GEOGRAPHICAL FRAMEWORK FOR INTEGRATING GURUKUL PRINCIPLES

A contemporary educational framework inspired by selected Gurukul principles may be organized around five components. At first, place-based learning should connect curriculum with

local landscapes. Geography students can investigate their own villages, towns, rivers, agricultural fields and urban environments. Secondly, experiential learning should complement theoretical instruction. Field observation and practical activities can help students understand environmental processes directly. Thirdly, traditional knowledge documentation can create dialogue between generations. Students can record local knowledge concerning agriculture, water management, biodiversity and seasonal environmental indicators, subject to appropriate ethical safeguards. Fourthly, ecological responsibility should be integrated into everyday educational practice. Waste reduction, water conservation, energy efficiency, tree management and biodiversity protection can become practical components of institutional life. Fifthly, scientific validation and critical evaluation are essential. Traditional knowledge should be respected without assuming that every inherited practice is environmentally sustainable or scientifically verified. This framework can connect the cultural value of traditional knowledge with the analytical methods of modern geography.

XII. DISCUSSION

The relationship between education, local environment and traditional knowledge can be understood through the geographical concept of human environment interaction. The Gurukul tradition represents a learning environment in which education was not necessarily confined to a formal classroom but was connected with everyday life, social relationships and the surrounding landscape. This residential character created opportunities for learners to observe environmental processes and develop practical knowledge through direct experience (Altekar, 1944, Basham, 1954). From a geographical perspective, the local environment can function as a living laboratory. Seasonal changes, vegetation, water resources, soil conditions, agricultural activities and settlement patterns provide opportunities for experiential learning. Such an approach is consistent with contemporary place-based education, which emphasizes learning through direct engagement with local places and communities. It can also strengthen ecological literacy by helping learners understand how human activities depend upon environmental resources (Orr, 2004, Sterling, 2001). Traditional ecological knowledge provides another important connection. Knowledge concerning agriculture, medicinal plants, water conservation, weather patterns and resource use often develops through prolonged interaction between communities and their environments. Berkes (2012) argues that traditional ecological knowledge incorporates knowledge, practices and beliefs developed through relationships with particular ecosystems. In this context, Gurukul education may be viewed as a historical setting in which knowledge transmission could occur through observation, participation, discipline and community life. However, the relationship between Gurukul education and environmental sustainability should not be idealized. Historical educational institutions differed across regions and periods, and available evidence does not support assuming that every Gurukul followed identical environmental practices. Similarly, traditional knowledge should not automatically be considered scientifically valid or universally sustainable. Its contemporary application requires critical

evaluation and, where appropriate, comparison with scientific evidence. The contemporary significance of Gurukul principles therefore lies primarily in their potential contribution to experiential, place-based and value-oriented education. Environmental education can incorporate local ecological knowledge while using modern tools such as field surveys, GIS, remote sensing and scientific assessment. The National Education Policy 2020's emphasis on Indian Knowledge Systems and experiential learning provides an additional context for exploring such integration (Ministry of Education, 2020). Thus, a meaningful synthesis of Gurukul-inspired learning and modern geographical education should not attempt to reproduce the historical system. Instead, it should adapt relevant principles learning from place, community participation, environmental responsibility and experiential knowledge to contemporary requirements of sustainability, scientific inquiry and inclusive education.

XIII. CHALLENGES AND LIMITATIONS

Several limitations need to be acknowledged. First, the term ‘Gurukul’ encompasses diverse historical educational practices and should not be treated as a single standardized institution. Second, available historical evidence does not allow every modern claim about environmental education in Gurukuls to be established with equal certainty. Third, there is a risk of romanticizing the past. Contemporary education must address gender equality, social inclusion, scientific reasoning, technological change and democratic values in ways that may not correspond directly with historical educational structures. Fourth, traditional knowledge can be lost, commercialized or misrepresented. Sandha et al. (2024) note the need to preserve traditional ecological knowledge while addressing the complex challenges surrounding its integration into environmental education. Therefore, the appropriate approach is neither complete rejection nor unquestioning revival. Instead, selected knowledge and practices should be critically examined, documented and tested for their relevance to contemporary environmental and educational challenges.

XIV. CONCLUSION

The relationship between Gurukul education, local environment and traditional knowledge offers an important field of interdisciplinary inquiry for geography and education. The geographical importance of the Gurukul tradition lies less in its historical institutional form than in the possibility of understanding education as a process embedded in place, community and everyday environmental experience. Residential learning could create close interaction between learners and their surroundings. Such interaction provides a conceptual link with contemporary place-based education, experiential learning and ecological literacy. Traditional knowledge, meanwhile, demonstrates how long-term human-environment relationships can generate locally specific understandings of resources, seasons, landscapes and ecological processes. The contemporary relevance of Gurukul principles should therefore be approached selectively and

critically. Simplicity, responsibility, community participation, experiential learning and respect for nature can contribute to sustainability-oriented education. At the same time, traditional knowledge should be evaluated through scientific inquiry, ethical considerations and contemporary principles of inclusion and equality. For geography education, this synthesis provides considerable potential. Local landscapes can become learning laboratories, while traditional ecological knowledge can become a subject of systematic documentation and critical investigation. Such an approach can help students understand that environmental problems are not merely abstract global issues; they are experienced through particular places and shaped by relationships between people, resources and culture. The study consequently suggests that a dialogue between Gurukul inspired experiential learning, traditional ecological knowledge and contemporary geographical education may contribute to the development of environmentally responsible learners. Rather than returning to the past, the objective should be to reinterpret useful principles from historical knowledge systems in the light of modern science, sustainability and educational needs.

REFERENCES

[1]. Altekar, A. S. (1944). Education in ancient India. Nand Kishore & Bros.
[2]. Basham, A. L. (1954). The wonder that was India: A survey of the culture of the Indian sub-continent before the coming of the Muslims. Sidgwick & Jackson.
[3]. Berkes, F. (2012). Sacred ecology (3rd ed.). Routledge.
[4]. Gadgil, M., & Berkes, F. (1991). Traditional resource management systems. Resource Management and Optimization, 8(3–4), 127-141.
[5]. Ghildyal, P., & Dey, T. (2020). Integrating culture and environmental education for sustainability to develop values. Journal of Indian Education, 46(3), 81-90.
[6]. Jayalakshmi, J., & Rekha, V. S. (2022). Philosophy of Gurukula education: Personal education and practical democracy. Journal of Philosophy of Education, 56(6), 1014-1025. https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9752.12713
[7]. Kaur, A. (2026). Integrating sustainability in higher education system: An Indian policy review. Humanities and Social Sciences Communications, 13, Article 713.
[8]. Ministry of Education, Government of India. (2020). National Education Policy 2020. Government of India.
[9]. Orr, D. W. (2004). Earth in mind: On education, environment, and the human prospect. Island Press.
[10]. Posey, D. A. (Ed.). (1999). Cultural and spiritual values of biodiversity. United Nations Environment Programme.
[11]. Sandha, H. S., Khare, P., & Sharma, P. (2024). Integrating traditional ecological knowledge (TEK) with environmental education in India. In Fostering an ecological shift through effective environmental education (pp. 189-205). IGI Global. https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3693-2577-3.ch012

[12]. Sharma, A., & Joshi, A. (2021). Sustainable management education: Insights from indigenous wisdom of Bhartiya (Indian) Gurukul philosophy. World Review of Entrepreneurship, Management and Sustainable Development, 17(4), 471-480. https://doi.org/10.1504/WREMSD.2021.116660

[13]. Shukla, S., & Gardner, J. S. (2004). The role of traditional ecological knowledge in education for community-based resource management. International Journal of Sustainable Development & World Ecology, 11(4), 411-422.

[14]. Sterling, S. (2001). Sustainable education: Re-visioning learning and change. Green Books.

[15]. Tilbury, D. (1995). Environmental education for sustainability: Defining the new focus of environmental education in the 1990s. Environmental Education Research, 1(2), 195-212. https://doi.org/10.1080/1350462950010206

[16]. Tudu, J. (2025). Gurukul: An ancient Indian education system and its implication in modern education. Indian Knowledge System Through the Ages: From Vedas to Modern Science.

[17]. UNESCO. (1978). Intergovernmental conference on environmental education: Final report. UNESCO.

[18]. United Nations. (2015). Transforming our world: The 2030 agenda for sustainable development. United Nations.

[19]. World Commission on Environment and Development. (1987). Our common future. Oxford University Press.